

WASHINGTON,, DC 20036

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 03/23/2001 Gholam A. Peyman 41441 4578 09/815,277 **EXAMINER** 06/03/2004 ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P. SHAY, DAVID M 1300 19TH STREET, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 600** 

3739

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

EXAMINER	

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY:  ADVISORY ACTION
b) perpires either (1) three granted).
whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of this Advisory Action, the final Office action.
ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate appropriate appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) appropri
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed 1/2 3, 2 00 4 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance.
The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the first
There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not
The control of the co
They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues
They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
TE:
Newly proposed as
Newly proposed or amended claimwould be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  will be entered  will not be entered and the status of the claims
laim allowed: hem
laims objected to: Ptre
aims rejected: /-/5_13-21-23-21-35
Dwever;
pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because legarding and), franchiscopy (A) (B) are supporting ("to ensure should") you requirements (to ensure the supporting (to ensure the supporting (to ensure the supporting (to ensure the supporting to ensure the supporting (to ensure the supporting to ensure the supporting the supporting to ensure the supporting the supporting the supporting to ensure the supporting the support
The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
have a la to the starting lacks forming a remy che the of to the
that may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(1) (1997)  The from the structure with in the constitution of the former of the structure of the str
Manage of Market
- 303 (REV. 299)  PRIMARY EXAMINER